### Franchise Tax Board

# **SUMMARY ANALYSIS OF AMENDED BILL**

Author: Nakano		Analyst:	LuAnna Hass		Bill Number:	AB 660	
Related Bills: S	ee Prior Analysis	Telephone	e: <u>845-7478</u>	Amended Da	te: <u>05/0</u>	1/01	
		Attorney:	Patrick Kusia	<u>k</u> .	Sponsor:		
SUBJECT: Research Expenses Credit/Conform to Federal Alternative Incremental Credit							
DEPARTMENT AMENDMENTS ACCEPTED. Amendments reflect suggestions of previous analysis of bill as introduced February 22, 2001.  AMENDMENTS IMPACT REVENUE. A new revenue estimate is provided.							
AMENDMENTS DID NOT RESOLVE THE DEPARTMENT'S CONCERNS stated in the previous analysis of bill as introduced/amended							
FURTHER AMENDMENTS NECESSARY.							
DEPARTMENT POSITION CHANGED TO							
X REMAINDER OF PREVIOUS ANALYSIS OF BILL AS INTRODUCED February 22, 2001, STILL APPLIES.							
OTHER - See comments below.							
SUMMARY  This bill would increase the alternative incremental research expense credit to be in conformity with the federal credit percentages.  SUMMARY OF AMENDMENT  The May 1, 2001, amendments resolved the department's implementation and technical concerns by accepting the amendments suggested in the department's analysis of the bill as introduced February 22, 2001.  Except for the concerns resolved by these amendments, the remainder of the department's analysis of the bill as introduced still applies. The revenue estimate still applies as it was originally estimated based on the author's intent of conforming to the federal percentages. The revenue estimate is							
included below for reference.  POSITION							
Pending.							
Board Position:			ND	Legislative Direc	tor	Date	
S SA N	NA O OUA		NP NAR PENDING	Brian Putler	0	05/30/01	

LSB TEMPLATE (rev. 6-98) 06/06/01 9:56 AM

Assembly Bill 660 (Nakano) Amended May 1, 2001 Page 2

#### **ECONOMIC IMPACT**

## Tax Revenue Estimate

This bill would result in revenue losses as follows:

Estimated Revenue Impact of AB 660							
As Amended May 1, 2001							
\$ Millions							
2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2004-05				
-\$30	-\$49	-\$63	-\$69				

The California credit rates would be raised from the current level of 1.49%, 1.98%, and 2.48% to 2.65%, 3.20%, and 3.75%, respectively.

This estimate does not account for changes in employment, personal income, or gross state product that could result from this measure.

### Revenue Estimate Discussion

The above revenue impact was estimated as follows. First, the revenue loss due to the alternative incremental research credit under existing Bank and Corporation Tax Law (B&CTL) was estimated for 1994 using the department's corporate samples as well as other corporate financial data. Next, the revenue loss due to the alternative incremental research credit under the proposed higher credit rates was estimated using the same data. The difference between these two amounts was the bill's B&CTL revenue impact.

The estimated 1994 revenue losses were extrapolated to future years using reported aggregate research credit claimed by California corporations from 1994 to 1998, and Department of Finance projected annual growth rates of corporate profits. Finally, the revenue impact under the Personal Income Tax Law was assumed to be equal to 4.8% of the B&CTL impact and was added to the corporate impact.

#### LEGISLATIVE STAFF CONTACT

LuAnna Hass Brian Putler

Franchise Tax Board Franchise Tax Board

845-7478 845-6333